
*See box, page 70, for representative indexes.

| Rates of Interest <br> As of September 23, 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Government Obligations ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Fed Funds Rate | 3.08\% |
| 3-Month Treas. Bill | 3.19\% |
| 10-Yr. Treas. Note | 3.70\% |
| 30-Yr. Treas. Bond | 3.65\% |
| 10-Yr. TIPS | 1.29\% |
| Muni Bonds - Nat'l 10-Yr. | 3.10\% |
| Mortgage Rates ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| 15-Yr Fixed | 5.44\% |
| 30-Yr Fixed | 6.29\% |
| Banking ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| Savings | 0.17\% |
| Money Market | 0.18\% |
| 12-month CD | 0.60\% |
| [1] Federal Reserve, fmsbonds.com. Annualized Rates. Notes, bonds, TIPS reflect yield to maturity. <br> [2] Freddie Mac. Average (National average mortgages with 0.9 points). <br> [3] FDIC. Average national rates, non-jumbo deposits ( $<\$ 100 \mathrm{k}$ ). |  |

## What's Up with Gold?

Since inflation began its ascent in early 2021, gold, despite its reputation, has served poorly as a hedge against rising prices (see Chart 1).

During the late 1970s the gold price surged along with dou-ble-digit annual inflation. But over the long-term data confirm that the gold price has been far too volatile to serve reliably as an ongoing hedge against rising prices. Chart 2 (following page) shows that monthly changes in the gold price have dwarfed monthly changes in CPI. Indeed, the latter series (in orange) is barely perceptible alongside the gold series.

Gold returns are impacted by many factors, including changes in U.S. interest rates. For decades global financial markets have embraced U.S. Treasury debt the safest form of debt available, so Treasurys compete with gold as a safe-haven asset. In fact, the three-month Treasury bill (T-bill) is presented in finance textbooks as a proxy for the theoretical risk-free rate of return.
(continued next page)
Chart 1: Price Inflation vs Gold Price Trailing 12 Mo. Change


Gold pays no interest, so its price tends to fall when Treasuries yields increase. This helps to explain why the gold price has fallen this year despite historically high inflation. Treasury rates have surged as the FOMC (belatedly) boosted its Fed Funds Target range from 0.0 to 0.25 percent when the year began, to 3.0 to 3.25 percent in late September.

Higher rates in the U.S. have also attracted capital from foreign investors, which has further suppressed the gold price. As foreign investors have flocked to dollar-denominated assets the dollar has increased in price against foreign currencies. This translates to a higher gold price in local currencies. So, unless foreign central banks follow the Fed's lead by increasing their own rates, this exchange rate effect further erodes global demand for gold and therefore drives returns lower for U.S. investors.

Gold, however, serves well as insurance against unexpected financial shocks. Throughout history during times of extreme distress in capital markets gold has increased in value while other financial asset prices have plummet-

## Chart 2: Price Inflation vs. Gold Monthly Change


ed. This was evident during the 2009 subprime crisis and the economy-wide shutdowns of 2020. Early this year when Russia invaded Ukraine the spot gold price approached its all-time high, though the spike was short lived.

There is no guarantee that global investors will continue to regard U.S. Treasurys as the best proxy for a riskfree asset or that the dollar will remain
the world's reserve currency. Federal spending is near its highest level since World War II and the Fed has once again demonstrated that discretionary monetary policy is ill suited to maintaining stable prices. Gold on the other hand cannot be created with the stroke of a pen.

## HOW TO INVEST A LUMP SUM: INVEST NOW, OR OVER TIME?

| We are frequently asked whether it is better to invest a lump | Table 2 | Media <br> th Jan | Ending Weal 1926 - Aug. 20 | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \text {-year } \\ (1,10 \end{array}$ | olling Returns observations) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sum of cash all at once or in a gradual, |  | 100\% | Stock Portfolio | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 40 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \% Stock / Bond Portfolio |
| methodical fashion, referred to as "Dollar Cost Averaging". Over |  | Win <br> Rate | Median Wealth at End of period | Win Rate | Median Wealth at End of period |
| time there is a good | Strategy \#1: Invest 100\% immediately | 68.5\% | \$16,908 | 74.1\% | \$15,118 |
| might receive a lump | Strategy \#2: Invest over 12 months | 17.9\% | \$16,274 | 16.2\% | \$14,625 |
| sum from a variety of sources such as an | Strategy \#3: Invest over 3 years | 13.5\% | \$14,929 | 9.7\% | \$13,516 |

inheritance, severance pay, or the sale of a home. In this article we consider both methods.

Dollar Cost Averaging (DCA) simply refers to investing an equal dollar amount at fixed intervals of time. The goal is to get a better "average price" by taking advantage of volatility. Proponents

| Table 1 Dollar Cost Averaging |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly Investment | Price per share | Shares Purchased |
| $\$ 500$ | $\$ 20$ | 25 |
| $\$ 500$ | $\$ 30$ | 16.67 |
| $\$ 500$ | $\$ 40$ | 12.5 |
|  | Total | 54.17 |

of DCA often present an example such as the following:

An investor has \$1,500 to invest. She can invest $\$ 500$ per month for three months, or she can invest the $\$ 1,500$ all at once. Suppose that the initial purchase price is $\$ 20$ per share, and that the price increases by $\$ 10$ per month, so that the price series is $\$ 20, \$ 30$, and $\$ 40$. The average of these prices is $\$ 30$. Table 1 shows that with Dollar Cost Averaging, the
investor winds up with 54.17 shares so that the average purchase cost per share is $\$ 27.69(\$ 1,500 / 54.17)$ This is about 8 percent less than the average share price of $\$ 30$.
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While this is intuitively appealing, this simple analysis fails to point out that the investor would have been far better off had she invested the $\$ 1,500$ immediately. In that case she would have purchased 75 shares that would be worth $\$ 3,000$ at the end of the three months versus 54.17 shares worth $\$ 2,167$ under DCA.

Some readers might cry foul, since we have contrived an example in which prices are rising. Stock prices often fall over the short term, in which case DCA would be the superior strategy.

However, while security prices change unpredictably over short-term intervals, they trend upward over time. Therefore, we submit that investors who have a truly long-run view, and who construct a portfolio that is well-diversified across asset classes, should not be afraid to "take the lump sum plunge" rather than embrace DCA. A look at market history bears this out.

## Numbers Please...

Our analysis utilizes data for the S\&P 500 as a proxy for stocks and 5-Year U.S. Treasury Notes for bonds. We use these indexes because data are available dating back to 1926. All returns assume the reinvestment of dividends and interest, quarterly rebalancing to target weights, and do not include advisory fees, mutual fund expenses, or transaction costs.

We tested three potential strategies for investors with cash available for investment.

Optimal Investment Strategies*
See text for full explanation

| Strategy 1 |
| :--- |
| (immediate investment) |
| Strategy 2 |
| (DCA over 12 mos.) |


| Strategy 3 |
| :--- |
| (DCA over 3 yrs.) |


$\quad$| * Blue dot indicates optimal strategy for year indicated. Due to the large number of observations, |
| :--- |
| optimal strategies unavoidably appear to coincide in some years. Generally however it is clear that |
| Strategy 1 (invest immediately) has predominated. |

- Strategy \#1 invests as soon as the cash is available (immediate investment).
- Strategy \#2 invests the cash gradually -- one-twelfth of the cash is invested at one-month intervals for 12 months ( 1 year horizon).
- Strategy \#3 invests even more gradually, with one-twelfth invested over 12 quarters (3-year horizon).

In all three scenarios we considered a 100 percent stock portfolio, as well as a more conventional 60 percent stock/40 percent bond portfolio.

## Lump Sum Dominates "Win Rates"

We first considered which strategy created the most wealth at the end of a 5 -year investment period for a hypothetical investor with \$10,000 to invest. There
were 1,100 hypothetical starting months between January 1926 and August 2017 and subsequent five-year spans. ${ }^{1}$

The "win rate" in Table 2 shows the percentage of five-year spans when a particular strategy was dominant. For an all-stock portfolio, Strategy \#1 (investing immediately) was the superior strategy about two-thirds of the time (we note similar findings derived from external research in the U.S., U.K., and Australian capital markets.) ${ }^{2}$ For the more diversified 60/40 portfolio of stocks and bonds, Strategy \# 1 (immediate investing) dominated almost three out of every four periods.

This supports our contention that, in a world in which market returns are unpredictable, investors are generally better off investing immediately.

The chart above depicts those periods during which each strategy was dominant. Each dot represents a starting month when the indicated strategy was optimal. The preponderance of the dots is on the line for Strategy \#1. There are, (continued page 68)

| "Spread" and "Worst Case" Ending Period Wealth (5th percentile outcomes) Starting Month Jan. 1926 - Aug. 2017 (1,100 observations) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100\% Stock Portfolio |  | 60\% Stock / 40\% Bond Portfolio |  |
|  | Spread (Standard deviation of ending period wealth) | 5th percentile <br> Ending Period <br> Wealth | Spread (Standard deviation of ending period wealth) | 5th percentile <br> Ending Period Wealth |
| Strategy \# 1: Invest 100\% immediately | \$6,161 | \$7,655 | \$3,575 | \$10,206 |
| Strategy \#2: Invest over 12 months | \$5,520 | \$7,955 | \$3,230 | \$9,794 |
| Strategy \#3: Invest over 3 years | \$4,236 | \$8,118 | \$2,503 | \$9,973 |

[^0]| Table 4Win Rates During Strong Market Returns*, 5 Year Rolling Returns <br> Starting Month Jan. 1926 - Aug. 2017 (280 observations) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ Stock <br> Portfolio | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ Stock / <br> $\mathbf{4 0 \%} \%$ Bond Portfolio |
| Strategy \#1: Invest 100\% immediately | $75.4 \%$ | $81.1 \%$ |
| Strategy \#2: Invest over 12 months | $13.6 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ |
| Strategy \#3: Invest over 3 years | $11.1 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |

* 12 month periods with S\&P 500 total return of at least $25 \%$.
however, sporadic periods where some amount of dollar-cost averaging would have been advantageous, most notably right before the Great Depression, dotcom bubble, and the subprime mortgage crisis. This becomes obvious, but only in hindsight.


## Uncertainty and the Worst Case

This assessment is inadequate in two respects. First, while it calculates the ending wealth in all cases, it fails to consider the "spread" of those outcomes. That is, it fails to acknowledge that some investors give high priority to the certainty of their ending portfolio values, even if it might mean lower gains on the upside. Second, it fails to consider that some investors prioritize "limiting the downside" of losses they might suffer in the event poor market returns prevail. ${ }^{3}$

Table 3 (previous page) addresses both concerns. The spread of outcomes - represented in the table by standard deviation ${ }^{4}$ - is narrower with DCA strategies. Both the 100 percent stock portfolio as well as the 60/40 portfolio provide more narrow ranges of outcomes with DCA versus immediate investment. This suggests that investors who want a more certain outcome might indeed benefit from DCA, even if it may limit the potential upside depicted in earlier tables.

In terms of limiting the downside we examined the $5^{\text {th }}$ percentile of returns. For the 100 percent stock portfolio, both DCA strategies provide a better result versus immediate investment, and the most gradual strategy is optimal (the \$10,000 starting value falls to only $\$ 8,118)$.

However, in the case of a 60/40 portfolio, immediate investment is superior. The ending value after five years shows a modest gain of $\$ 206$ even in the $5^{\text {th }}$ percentile scenario. This suggests that investors who prioritize limiting their potential portfolio losses need
not worry much about how quickly to invest their lump sum, if their portfolio is well diversified, and they have a long-term perspective. This more realistic assessment is often overlooked in other research - which tends to test only 100 percent stock portfolios.

## What if Markets are Doing Well?

Investors can be reluctant to invest a lump sum when stock markets have been strong because they are fearful a reversal is imminent. So, we looked at market history to see whether investing after periods of above-average market returns would have any impact on the outcomes.

Specifically, in Table 4, we looked at which strategy provided the highest win rate, but only in those instances when the stock market had risen by at least 25 percent in the preceding 12 months. This reduced our observations to only 280, or roughly one quarter of our original data set.

Remarkably, by these criteria the data reveal that it would have been even more advantageous (based on win rates) to invest immediately. Strategy \#1 was optimal in three of four instances for a 100 percent portfolio and four in five instances for diversified stock/bond investors. In other words, history provides no compelling evidence to support a gradual investment strategy when recent returns have been strong.

## The Rational Investor?

Investors may choose to Dollar Cost Average to avoid "regret risk." Such investors are especially sensitive to the emotion experienced when an investment outcome, ex-post, is less than an alternative they had considered. Such investors are fearful of looking back and realizing that they invested everything right before a sharp market decline.

Of course, everything becomes clearer in retrospect. We cannot know
in advance how markets will perform over the short-term. The rational investor should therefore invest a lump sum to optimize expected outcomes. But the reality is that regret - a very real and powerful emotion - impacts investors and their "rationality". So, for some investors, dollar cost averaging can serve as a useful palliative. DCA certainly makes sense if, because of fear, the alternative is to never invest at all.

## The Takeaways

One must be careful when using back tested data, as we have done here. While we have considered thousands of scenarios by using "rolling" time periods, these are not statistically independent because they overlap. More generally, past performance is no indication of future performance.

Since markets are unpredictable, our research suggests that the wise approach is to invest a lump sum in a well-diversified portfolio immediately. Dollar Cost Averaging over a specified time is the less desirable course of action even during periods when markets have recently provided relatively strong returns.

Investors with cash intended for long-term growth should not remain in cash while "waiting for a correction" out of fear or regret. For the emotional investor who becomes "paralyzed", DCA may indeed be the best alternative. One proviso: investors who adopt DCA should stick to the plan by making equal dollar investments at regular intervals.

Finally, investors who do not have the luxury of a lump sum, but who do enjoy a steady cash flow, such as a portion of a monthly paycheck, may prefer to invest this income stream at regular intervals. Indeed, millions of people practice this regularly through tax deductible payroll contributions to their 401(k) plans.

For investing a lump sum, Dollar Cost Averaging is not a panacea. Immediate lump sum investing is the rational course of action for those who seek to maximize their long-term returns.

## HOMEOWNER RECORDS: WHAT TO KEEP AND FOR HOW LONG¹

Keeping full and accurate homeowner records is not only vital for claiming deductions on your tax return, but also for determining the basis or adjusted basis of your home. These records include your purchase contract and settlement papers if you bought the property, or other objective evidence if you acquired it by gift, inheritance, or similar means. You should also keep any receipts, canceled checks, and similar evidence for improvements or other additions to the basis.

Here are a few examples:

- Putting an addition on your home
- Replacing an entire roof
- Paving your driveway
- Installing central air conditioning
- Rewiring your home
- Assessments for local improvements
- Amounts spent to restore damaged property

You should also keep track of any reductions in the basis, such as:

- Insurance or other reimbursement for casualty losses
- Deductible casualty losses not covered by insurance
- Payment received for easement or right-of-way granted
- Value of subsidy for energy conservation measure excluded from income
- Depreciation deduction if the home is used for business or rental purposes
How you keep records is up to you, but they must be clear and accurate and must be available to the IRS. You must also keep these records for as long as they are important for the federal tax law.

Keep records that support an item of income or a deduction appearing on a return until the period of limitations for the return runs out. A period of limitations is the limited period of time after which no legal action can be brought.

For assessment of tax, the period of limitations is generally three years from the date you filed the return. When filing a claim for credit or refund, the period of limitations is generally three years from the date you filed the original return or two years from the date you paid the tax, whichever is later. Returns filed before the due date are treated as filed on the due date.

You may need to keep records relating to the basis of property longer than the period of limitations. For example, basis is needed to determine gain on home sale. Any gain on sale of a home is tax-exempt for amounts up to $\$ 250,000$ ( $\$ 500,000$ for married couples). Basis is also important in figuring casualty loss, on conversion of the home to business use, or when there is a gift of the home (in that case, it is important to the recipient of the gift). You should keep these records for as long as needed because they are important in figuring the basis of the property. Generally, this means for as long as you own the property and, after you dispose of it, for the period of limitations that applies to you.

[^1]
## EXTENSION DEADLINE LOOMING FOR 2021 TAX RETURNS¹

$T$ ime is running short for taxpayers who requested an extra six months to file their 2021 tax return. As a reminder, Monday, October 17, 2022, is the extension deadline for most taxpayers. Taxpayers are encouraged to file a complete and accurate return electronically as early as possible once they have gathered all their information. There is no need to wait until the October deadline.

For those still waiting on their 2020 tax return to be processed, here is a tip to help with e-filing a 2021 tax return: To validate and successfully submit an electronically filed tax return to the IRS, taxpayers need their Adjusted Gross Income, or AGI, from their most recent tax return. Those waiting on their 2020 tax return can still file their 2021 return by entering $\$ 0$ for their 2020 AGI on their 2021 tax return. For those using the same tax preparation software as last year, this field will auto-populate.

For taxpayers who have not yet filed, here are a few things to keep in mind about the extension deadline and taxes:

1. Taxpayers can still e-file returns. Electronic filing is the easiest, safest, and most accurate way to file taxes. Taxpayers who have not filed a 2021 tax return yet - including extension filers - can file electronically any time before the October deadline and avoid the last-minute rush to file.
2. Choose direct deposit. For taxpayers owed a refund, the fastest way to get it is to combine direct deposit and e-file. The IRS processes most e-filed returns and issues direct deposit refunds in less than three weeks.
3. Taxpayers who owe taxes should consider using IRS Direct Pay, a simple, quick, and free way to
pay from a checking or savings account using a computer or mobile device. There are also other online payment options. Please call the office if you need details about other payment options.
4. Members of the military and those serving in a combat zone generally get more time to file. Military members typically have until at least 180 days after leaving a combat zone to file returns and pay any tax due.
5. Taxpayers should always keep a copy of tax returns for their records. Keeping copies of tax returns can help taxpayers prepare future tax returns or assist with amending a prior year's return.
[^2]
## THE HIGH-YIELD DOW INVESTMENT STRATEGY

| HYD Model Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of September 15, 2022 | Rank | Yield (\%) | Price (\$) | Status | $\begin{aligned} & -P e \\ & \text { Value (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Portfolio-- <br> No. Shares (\%) |
| Verizon | 1 | 6.36 | 41.03 | Holding** | 21.30 | - 32.83 |
| Dow, Inc. | 2 | 5.97 | 46.91 | Holding** | 21.22 | 28.60 |
| Walgreen Boots | 3 | 5.61 | 34.23 | Buying | 8.38 | 15.47 |
| IBM | 4 | 5.26 | 125.49 | Holding** | 25.28 | 12.73 |
| 3M Company | 5 | 5.12 | 116.42 | Holding | 1.29 | 0.70 |
| Chevron | 7 | 3.54 | 160.62 | Selling | 22.36 | 8.80 |
| Kyndryl | NA | NA | 10.64 | Selling | 0.14 | 0.86 |
| Cash (6-mo. T-Bill) | N/A | N/A |  |  | 0.01 | N/A |
| Totals |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |
| ${ }^{* *}$ Currently indicated purchases approximately equal to indicated purchases 18 months ago. 'Because the percentage of each issue in the portfolio by value reflects the prices shown in the table (closing prices on the date indicated), we are also showing the number of shares of each stock as a percentage of the total number of shares in the entire portfolio. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Comparative Hypothetical Total Returns (\%) and Volatility

The data presented in the table and chart below represent total returns generated by a hypothetical HYD portfolio and by benchmark indexes for periods ending August 31, 2022*. Returns for the 5-,10- and 20-year periods and since 1979 are annualized, as is the volatility (standard deviation) of returns.

*Data assume all purchases and sales at mid-month prices (+/-\$0.125 per share commissions), reinvestment of all dividends and interest, and no taxes. Model HYD calculations are based on hypothetical trades following a very exacting stock-selection strategy. They do not reflect returns on actual investments or previous recommendations of AIS. Past performance may differ from future results. Historical performance results for the Russell 1000 Value Index, the Dow Jones Industrial Average and the S\&P 500 Index do not reflect the deduction of transaction and/or custodial charges, or the deduction of an investment-management fee, the incurrence of which would have the effect of decreasing historical performance results. HYD Strategy results reflect the deduction of $1 \%$ management fee, the annual rate assessed to a $\$ 500,000$ account managed through our Professional Asset Management service.
Unless otherwise specified, returns and data cited within this publication are derived from the following sources: U.S. stock benchmarks: U.S. Marketwide - Russell 3000 Index; U.S. Large Cap Stocks - Russell 1000 Index; U.S. Large Cap Value - Russell 1000 Value Index; U.S. Large Cap Growth - Russell 1000 Growth Index; U.S. Midcap Stocks - Russell Midcap Index; U.S. Small Cap Stocks - Russell 2000 Index; U.S. Small Cap Value - Russell 2000 Value Index; U.S. Small Cap Growth - Russell 2000 Growth Index; U.S. Microcaps - Russell Microcap Index. Fixed income benchmarks: Cash \& Equivalents - ICE BofAML US 3-Month Treasury Bill Index; U.S. 1-Year Treasury Notes - ICE BofA 1-Year US Treasury Note Index; U.S. Short-Term Investment Grade - Bloomberg US Government/Credit Bonds Index 1-5 Years; U.S. Bonds - Bloomberg US Aggregate Bond Index; U.S. Government Bonds - Bloomberg US Government Bond Index; TIPS - Bloomberg US TIPS Index; Municipal Bonds - Bloomberg Municipal Bond Index 5 Years; Foreign Bonds (hedged) - FTSE Non-USD World Government Bond Index 1-5 Years (hedged to USD). Foreign stock benchmarks: All returns in U.S. dollars. Developed Markets - MSCI World ex USA Index (net div.); Developed Markets Value - MSCI World ex USA Value Index (net div.); Developed Markets Growth - MSCI World ex USA Growth Index (net div.); Developed Markets Small Cap - MSCI World ex USA Small Cap Index (net div.); Developed Markets Small Cap Value - MSCI World ex USA Small Value Index (net div.); Developed Markets Small Cap Growth - MSCI World ex USA Small Growth Index (net div.); Emerging Markets - MSCI Emerging Markets Index (net div.); Emerging Markets Value - MSCI Emerging Markets Value Index (net div.). Real estate benchmarks: Global REITs - S\&P Global REIT Index (net div.); U.S. REITs - S\&P United States REIT Index (gross div.); International REITs - S\&P Global ex US REIT Index (net div.). Gold benchmark: Gold price: LBMA price. All return data from DFA Returns 2.0 program (gold returns based on spot price) and Currency data from St. Louis Federal Reserve. Country performance provided by Dimensional Fund Advisors, based on respective indexes in the MSCI All Country World ex USA IMI Index (for developed markets) and MSCI Emerging Markets IMI Index. Sector returns represented by S\&P 500 sectors.

## RECENT MARKET STATISTICS

| Precious Metals \& Commodity Prices (\$) |  |  |  |  | Recent Market Returns <br> Data through August 31, 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 9 / 15 / 22 \\ 1,689.10 \end{array}$ | Mo. Earlier 1,776.60 | Yr. Earlier | (\%) |  | U.S. Stocks | Foreign Dev. | Foreign Emerg. | Global | U.S. | Foreign Bonds | Gold |
| Gold, London p.m. fixing Silver, London Spot Price | $1,689.10$ 19.37 | $1,776.60$ 20.33 | $1,796.95$ 23.84 |  |  | Stocks <br> (Mktwd) | Stocks | Emerg. <br> Stocks | REITs | Bonds | Bonds (hedged) | Gold |
| Crude Oil, W. Texas Int. Spot | 85.72 | 93.52 | 69.82 |  | 1-month | -3.73\% | -4.67\% | 0.42\% | -6.03\% | -2.83\% | -1.36\% | -3.11\% |
| Coin Prices (\$) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\nabla$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\nabla$ | ก |
| American Eagle (1.00) | 1,761 | 1,852 | 1,873 | 4.25 | 3-month | -3.51\% | -9.35\% | -6.49\% | -6.78\% | -2.01\% | -0.55\% | -6.87\% |
| Austrian 100-Corona (0.9802) | 1,656 | 1,741 | 1,761 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |
| British Sovereign (0.2354) | 398 | 418 | 423 | 0.00 | 1 year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canadian Maple Leaf (1.00) | 1,734 | 1,822 | 1,842 | 2.66 |  | -13.28\% | $\begin{gathered} -18.56 \% \\ \square \end{gathered}$ | -21.80\% | -14.02\% | -11.52\% | -3.23\% | -5.66\% |
| Mexican 50-Peso (1.2057) | 2,037 | 2,142 | 2,167 | 0.00 |  |  |  | ת | - | $\nabla$ | ■ | [ |
| Mexican Ounce (1.00) | 1,707 | 1,795 | 1,815 | 1.07 | 5 year (annualized) | 11.29\% | 2.08\% | 0.59\% | 2.85\% | 0.52\% | 1.02\% | 5.30\% |
| S. African Krugerrand (1.00) | 1,734 | 1,822 | 1,842 | 2.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| U.S. Double Eagle-\$20 (0.9675) |  |  |  |  | 15 year (annualized) | 8.88\% |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.81 \% \\ \text { 令 } \end{gathered}$ | 2.97\% | $3.09 \%$ | $1.98 \%$ | $6.38 \%$ |
| St. Gaudens (MS-60) | 1,835 | 1,890 | 1,852 | n/a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liberty (Type II-AU50) | 1,806 | 1,843 | 1,861 | n/a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liberty (Type III-AU50) | 1,825 | 1,874 | 1,836 | n/a | Best and worst one-year returns, Jan. 2001 - Aug. 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Silver Coins (\$1,000 face value, circulated) |  |  |  |  | BestDuring: | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{6 2 . 5} \% \\ \hline 04 / 2020- \\ 03 / 2021 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{5 7 . 2} \% \\ \hline 04 / 2003- \\ 03 / 2004 \end{gathered}$ | 91.6\% | 85.7\% | 13.8\% | 7.1\% | 54.6\% |
| 90\% Silver Circ. (715 oz.) | 17,339 | 19,306 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,752 \\ 7,426 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 03/2009- | 04/2009- | 11/2008- | 07/2008- | 06/2005- |
| 40\% Silver Circ. (295 oz.) | 5,181 | 6,004 |  |  |  |  |  | 02/2010 | 03/2010 | 10/2009 | 06/2009 | 05/2006 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Note: Premium reflects percentage difference between coin price and value of metal in a coin. The weight in troy ounces of the precious metal in coins is indicated in parentheses. Premiums will vary; these indicated premiums are provided in The CDN Monthly Greysheet. |  |  |  |  | Worst <br> During: | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{- 4 3 . 5 \%} \\ 03 / 2008- \\ 02 / 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{- 5 0 . 3} \% \\ & \hline 03 / 2008- \\ & 02 / 2009 \end{aligned}$ | -56.6\% <br> 12/2007- <br> 11/2008 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{- 5 9 . 5} \% \\ \hline 03 / 2008- \\ 02 / 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{- 1 1 . 5 \%} \\ & \text { 09/2021-1 } \\ & \text { 08/2022 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{- 3 . 2} \% \\ \hline 09 / 2021- \\ 08 / 2022 \end{gathered}$ | -28.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12/2012- } \\ & 11 / 2013 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## THE DOW JONES INDUSTRIALS RANKED BY YIELD*

|  | Ticker Symbol | Market Prices (\$) |  |  | 12-Month (\$) |  | Latest Dividend |  |  | Indicated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Amount | Record | Payable | Annual | Yieldt |
|  |  | 9/15/22 | 8/15/22 | 9/15/21 |  |  | High | Low | (\$) | Date | Date | Dividend | \$) (\%) |
| Verizon | VZ | 41.03 | 45.56 | 54.57 | 55.51 | 40.71 | 0.653 | 10/7/22 | 11/1/22 | 2.610 | 6.36 |
| Dow Chemical | DOW | 46.91 | 55.34 | 60.20 | 71.86 | 46.38 | 0.700 | 8/31/22 | 9/9/22 | 2.800 | 5.97 |
| Walgreen's | WBA | 34.23 | 41.07 | 50.12 | 55.00 | 33.95 | 0.480 | 8/19/22 | 9/9/22 | 1.920 | 5.61 |
| IBM | IBM | 125.49 | 134.93 | 137.20 | 144.73 | 114.56 | 1.650 | 8/10/22 | 9/10/22 | 6.600 | 5.26 |
| 3M Company | MMM | 116.42 | 151.43 | 184.19 | 186.30 | 115.98 | 1.490 | 8/22/22 | 9/12/22 | 5.960 | 5.12 |
| Intel Corp | INTC | 28.84 | 36.34 | 55.12 | 56.28 | 28.72 | 0.365 | 11/7/22 | 12/1/22 | 1.460 | 5.06 |
| Chevron | CVX | 160.64 | 156.81 | 98.24 | 182.40 | 93.31 | 1.420 | 8/19/22 | 9/12/22 | 5.680 | 3.54 |
| Cisco | CSCO | 43.29 | 46.59 | 57.56 | 64.29 | 40.82 | 0.380 | 10/5/22 | 10/26/22 | 1.520 | 3.51 |
| Amgen | AMGN | 227.66 | 251.08 | 218.13 | 258.45 | 198.64 | 1.940 | 8/18/22 | 9/8/22 | 7.760 | 3.41 |
| J P Morgan | JPM | 117.87 | 122.46 | 158.16 | 172.96 | 106.06 | 1.000 | 7/6/22 | 7/31/22 | 4.000 | 3.39 |
| Merck | MRK | 86.76 | 90.60 | 72.81 | 95.72 | 70.89 | 0.690 | 9/15/22 | 10/7/22 | 2.760 | 3.18 |
| Goldman Sachs | GS | 331.62 | 355.85 | 401.95 | 426.16 | 277.84 | 2.500 | 9/1/22 | 9/29/22 | 10.000 | 3.02 |
| Coca-Cola | KO | 59.53 | 64.50 | 55.88 | 67.20 | 52.28 | 0.440 | 9/16/22 | 10/3/22 | 1.760 | 2.96 |
| Home Depot, Inc. | HD | 271.54 | 314.61 | 333.37 | 420.61 | 264.51 | 1.900 | 9/1/22 | 9/15/22 | 7.600 | 2.80 |
| Johnson \& Johnson | JNJ | 165.08 | 166.09 | 165.42 | 186.69 | 155.72 | 1.130 | 8/23/22 | 9/6/22 | 4.520 | 2.74 |
| Proctor and Gamble | - PG | 137.45 | 148.56 | 145.12 | 165.35 | 129.50 | 0.913 | 7/22/22 | 8/15/22 | 3.652 | 2.66 |
| Caterpillar | CAT | 182.49 | 195.95 | 205.73 | 237.90 | 167.08 | 1.200 | 7/20/22 | 8/19/22 | 4.800 | 2.63 |
| Travelers | TRV | 164.16 | 172.16 | 157.58 | 187.98 | 145.40 | 0.930 | 9/9/22 | 9/30/22 | 3.720 | 2.27 |
| Honeywell | HON | 176.86 | 202.25 | 221.69 | 228.26 | 167.35 | 0.980 | 8/12/22 | 9/2/22 | 3.920 | 2.22 |
| McDonald's | MCD | 253.47 | 265.44 | 240.98 | 271.15 | 217.68 | 1.380 | 9/1/22 | 9/16/22 | 5.520 | 2.18 |
| Wal-Mart Stores | WMT | 133.47 | 132.60 | 144.55 | 160.77 | 117.27 | 0.560 | 12/9/22 | 1/3/23 | 2.240 | 1.68 |
| American Express | AXP | 156.15 | 165.40 | 161.34 | 199.55 | 134.12 | 0.520 | 7/1/22 | 8/10/22 | 2.080 | 1.33 |
| Unitedhealth Group | UNH | 522.91 | 544.64 | 417.52 | 553.29 | 383.12 | 1.650 | 9/12/22 | 9/20/22 | 6.600 | 1.26 |
| Nike | NKE | 105.50 | 116.32 | 157.91 | 179.10 | 99.53 | 0.305 | 9/6/22 | 10/3/22 | 1.220 | 1.16 |
| Microsoft Corp. | MSFT | 245.38 | 293.47 | 304.82 | 349.67 | 241.51 | 0.620 | 11/17/22 | 12/8/22 | 2.480 | 1.01 |
| Visa Inc. | $V$ | 195.37 | 216.42 | 223.81 | 236.96 | 185.91 | 0.375 | 8/12/22 | 9/1/22 | 1.500 | 0.77 |
| Apple | AAPL | 152.37 | 173.19 | 149.03 | 182.94 | 129.04 | 0.230 | 8/8/22 | 8/11/22 | 0.920 | 0.60 |
| Walt Disney | DIS | 110.77 | 124.26 | 184.41 | 185.90 | 90.23 | 0.000 | No divide | d | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| Salesforce | CRM | 154.78 | 191.06 | 256.16 | 311.75 | 150.48 | 0.000 | No divide | dren | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| Boeing | BA | 149.78 | 170.47 | 214.22 | 233.94 | 113.02 | 0.000 | No divide | d | 0.000 | 0.00 |

$\dagger$ Based on indicated dividends and market price as of $9 / 15 / 22$. Extra dividends are not included in annual yields.
All data adjusted for splits and spin-offs. 12-month data begins 9/15/21.

| Data as of September 23, 2022 |  | Security Symbol(s) (1) |  | Avg. Market Cap / Duration | Number of Holdings | Expense Ratio (\%) | Turnover <br> (\%) | Price-toBook Ratio | Trailing 12-Mo. Yield (\%) | Annualized Returns (\%) |  |  | Tax Cost Ratio - <br> 3 Years (\%) (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3-Year | 5-Year |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10-Year |  |
| Fixed Income |  |  |  | Mutual Fund | ETF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-Term Bonds | Vanguard Short-Term Bond Adm | VBIRX | BSV | 2.67 yrs | 2642 | 0.07 | 37 |  | 1.21 | -0.88 | 0.52 | 0.82 | 0.64 |
| Short-Term Bonds | SPDR Portfolio Short Term Corp Bd ETF |  | SPSB | 1.92 yrs | 1203 | 0.04 | 56 |  | 1.20 | -0.02 | 1.11 | 1.26 | 0.69 |
| Short-Term Bonds | iShares 1-3 Year Treasury Bond ETF |  | SHY | 1.86 yrs | 87 | 0.15 | 148 |  | 0.52 | -0.60 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.33 |
| Core Bonds | Vanguard Total Bond Market Adm | VBTLX | BND | 6.67 yrs | 17261 | 0.05 | 69 |  | 2.25 | -2.86 | -0.10 | 1.00 | 0.91 |
| Core Bonds | iShares Core US Aggregate Bond ETF |  | AGG | 6.54 yrs | 9059 | 0.03 | 163 |  | 2.02 | -2.93 | -0.15 | 0.97 | 0.83 |
| Core Bonds | DFA Core Fixed Income | DFAPX | DFCF | 6.40 yrs | 823 | 0.20 | 17 |  | 2.33 | -2.66 | 0.14 | 1.25 | 0.96 |
| Tax-Exempt | Vanguard Ltd-Term Tax-Exempt Inv | VMLTX |  | 2.27 yrs | 9899 | 0.17 | 37 |  | 1.29 | -0.06 | 0.76 | 1.02 | 0.00 |
| Tax-Exempt | Vanguard Interm-Term Tx-Ex Inv | VWITX |  | 4.35 yrs | 13999 | 0.17 | 18 |  | 2.30 | -1.06 | 0.78 | 1.79 | 0.01 |
| Inflation-Protected | iShares TIPS Bond ETF |  | TIP | 6.84 yrs | 51 | 0.19 | 34 |  | 6.95 | 1.22 | 2.18 | 1.09 | 1.42 |
| Inflation-Protected | Vanguard Inflation-Protected Securities Inv | VIPSX |  | 7.37 yrs | 48 | 0.20 | 24 |  | 7.91 | 1.30 | 2.12 | 1.04 | 1.56 |
| International | Vanguard Total International Bond Adm | VTABX | BNDX | 7.70 yrs | 6819 | 0.11 | 25 |  | 3.40 | -3.93 | 0.14 | n/a | 1.03 |
| Real Estate (REITs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. REITs | Vanguard REIT Adm | VGSLX | VNQ | 21.52 B | 171 | 0.12 | 7 | 2.29 | 3.07 | -0.10 | 4.06 | 6.51 | 1.35 |
| U.S. REITs | SPDR Dow Jones REIT |  | RWR | 17.60 B | 116 | 0.25 | 10 | 1.99 | 3.30 | -1.98 | 2.63 | 5.46 | 1.44 |
| Int'I REITs | Vanguard Global ex-US Real Estate Adm (2) | VGRLX | VNQI | 4.78 B | 738 | 0.12 | 7 | 0.75 | 8.06 | -8.18 | -3.78 | 1.70 | 1.73 |
| Int'I REITs | iShares International Developed Property |  | WPS | 4.98 B | 437 | 0.48 | 12 | 0.76 | 5.05 | -8.35 | -3.40 | 1.71 | 1.61 |
| Global (incl. U.S.) | SPDR Dow Jones Global Real Estate ETF |  | RWO | 12.05 B | 278 | 0.50 | 6 | 1.42 | 3.69 | -4.60 | 0.14 | 3.33 | 1.44 |
| U.S. Stocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large Cap (blend) | Vanguard S\&P 500 Adm | VFIAX | VOO | 179.02 B | 508 | 0.04 | 2 | 3.22 | 1.54 | 9.01 | 10.00 | 11.85 | 0.39 |
| Large Cap (blend) | DFA US Equity ETF | DUSQX | DFUS | 124.41 B | 665 | 0.13 | 7 | 3.03 | 1.38 | 8.64 | 9.50 | n/a | 0.71 |
| Large Cap Value | Vanguard Value Adm | VVIAX | VTV | 95.28 B | 352 | 0.05 | 9 | 2.31 | 2.46 | 7.01 | 7.79 | 10.67 | 0.62 |
| Large Cap Value | DFA US Marketwide Value | DFLVX | DFUV | 64.57 B | 363 | 0.22 | 0 | 1.71 | 1.85 | 4.93 | 5.22 | 9.80 | 0.99 |
| Small Cap (blend) | iShares Core S\&P Small-Cap ETF |  | IJR | 1.95 B | 609 | 0.06 | 16 | 1.54 | 1.76 | 5.40 | 5.81 | 9.98 | 0.50 |
| Small Cap (blend) | DFA US Small Cap | DFSTX | DFAS | 2.64 B | 2003 | 0.27 | 12 | 1.71 | 1.06 | 7.24 | 5.44 | 9.34 | 0.94 |
| Small Cap Value | Vanguard Small Cap Value Adm | VSIAX | VBR | 5.00 B | 891 | 0.07 | 16 | 1.58 | 1.99 | 6.23 | 5.31 | 9.49 | 0.51 |
| Small Cap Value | iShares Micro-Cap |  | IWC | 0.51 B | 1790 | 0.60 | 44 | 1.36 | 1.06 | 5.35 | 3.67 | 8.06 | 0.37 |
| Small Cap Value | DFA U.S. Small Cap Value | DFSVX | DFSV | 2.36 B | 1010 | 0.30 | 22 | 1.04 | 1.44 | 10.05 | 5.61 | 8.97 | 1.32 |
| Marketwide | Vanguard Total Stock Market Adm | VTSAX | VTI | 104.82 B | 4059 | 0.04 | 4 | 2.97 | 1.52 | 8.29 | 9.31 | 11.47 | 0.39 |
| Marketwide | DFA US Core Equity Market ETF | DFEOX | DFAU | 65.14 B | 2598 | 0.14 | 4 | 2.63 | 1.39 | 8.64 | 8.96 | 11.34 | 0.78 |
| Foreign Stocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed Markets | Vanguard FTSE Developed Markets Adm | VTMGX | VEA | 24.55 B | 4129 | 0.07 | 3 | 1.31 | 3.88 | -0.85 | -0.25 | 3.95 | 0.76 |
| Developed Markets | DFA International Core Equity | DFIEX | DFIC | 10.45 B | 5307 | 0.24 | 8 | 1.17 | 3.78 | -0.12 | -0.64 | 4.21 | 0.90 |
| Emerging Markets | Vanguard Emerging Markets Stock Adm | VEMAX | VWO | 21.49 B | 4525 | 0.14 | 9 | 1.50 | 3.28 | -0.15 | -0.52 | 1.65 | 0.89 |
| Emerging Markets | DFA Emerging Markets Core Equity | DFCEX | DFAE | 9.59 B | 6919 | 0.39 | 10 | 1.12 | 3.19 | 1.04 | -0.34 | 2.24 | 0.87 |
| Gold-Related Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold ETFs | SPDR Gold Minishares |  | GLDM |  |  | 0.10 |  |  | 0.00 | 2.35 | n/a | n/a | 0.00 |
| Gold ETFs | GraniteShares Gold Trust |  | BAR |  |  | 0.17 |  |  | 0.00 | 2.40 | n/a | n/a | 0.00 |


[^0]:    1. The period starting August 2017 is the most recent span that has five subsequent years of returns.
    2. Shtekman, Anatoly, Christos Tasopoulos and Brian Wimmer. "Dollar-cost averaging just means taking risk later." Vanguard research. July 2012.
    3. In the Vanguard paper noted in footnote 2, the authors look at downside risk and find " $[t]$ he allocation to cash during the DCA investment period decreases the risk level of the portfolio, helping to insulate it from a declining market."
    "Dollar Cost Averaging May Help to Manage Risk but on Average It Just Reduces Returns." Michael Kitces. March 2016.
    A recent research paper by Jon Luskin, CFP in the Journal of Financial Planning suggests that dollar-cost averaging may be advantageous in environments where the CAPE ratio is elevated. We believe that the CAPE ratio is a dubious metric for timing market performance, most notably because the recent past has shown only elevated CAPE ratios, indicating that historical CAPE ratios may be less meaningful.
    4. The standard deviation measures how widely dispersed the data are. In this case, it measures the average of the differences of each ending value from the average ending value.
[^1]:    1. This article was made available by Adelson \& Co.
[^2]:    1. This article was made available by Adelson \& Co.
